

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Ross Biernick

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

08cv. 6768 (LAK)

WHITE CASTLE SYSTEM, INC.
et al.

Defendant(s)

ORDER

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: *9/2/08*

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge*.

Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The complaint or notice of removal, as applicable, fails adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- The citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacifiCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1)*.
- The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- The citizenship of one or more limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).
- The ~~nature and~~ citizenship of one or more *business* entities. *Other*
- The timely removal of the action from state court.

Absent the filing, on or before *9/10/08*, of an amended complaint or notice of removal, as the case may be, adequately alleging the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, the action will be dismissed or, if removed, remanded.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

9/2/08

Lewis A. Kaplan

Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge